



## REMARKS

In this paper claims 1-3 have been canceled. New claims 4-8 have been added. New abstract has been added. Replaces the old specification with the new specification and figures 2 and 6 has been replaced.

Claims 1-3 have been canceled therefore, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 is moot. New claims 4-8 are believed to define over the prior art and it is submitted that the claims are in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "T. Mallard".

---

Thomas Irvin Mallard, Inventor

02/17/2005

Customer Number 31296  
4339 La Caizada  
Fort Mohave, AZ, 86426-9262



## Interview Statement of Substance

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Examiner: Tony H. Winner

Art Unit: 3611

Thomas Mallard

Application No.: 10/613,535

Filed: 07/03/2003

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

For: FLUID AUTOMATIC BICYCLE TRANSMISSION


Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Commissioner:

A telephonic interview was conducted by Examiner Winner to clarify why a response to an office action had not been taken due to having not received notice by extenuating circumstance and to communicate how to respond in a timely manner to the Office Action dated August 16, 2004.

  
Thomas Irvin Mallard, Inventor

02/17/2005

Customer Number 31296

4339 La Caizada

Fort Mohave, AZ, 86426-9262

**Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/613,535

Applicant(s)

MALLARD, THOMAS IRVIN

Examiner

Tony H. Winner

Art Unit

3611

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tony H. Winner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Thomas Mallard.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 26 January 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

COPY

TONY WINNER  
PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required